

The Followers of Reason vindicated.

ESSAY

WHICH
MAY UNITE MEN AND NATIONS,
AND
PROMOTE UNIVERSAL PEACE.

RESPECTFULLY INSCRIBED TO
THE LEADING FOLKS OF ALL PARTIES.

*Like me as I am, and I will like you as you are,
and we will both rise only against bad Actions.*

FIRST PART.

Intended as a Proposal and Specimen.

PRICE SIX PENCE.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR THE AUTHOR,

AND SOLD BY MESSRS. SHEPPERSON AND REYNOLDS, 137,
OXFORD-STREET; RICHARDSON, 91, ROYAL
EXCHANGE, AND 23, CORNHILL; HOOKHAM
AND CARPENTER, 147, NEW BOND-STREET,

1795.

Entered at Stationers Hall.

The Editors of the London Standard

ESSAY

WHICH

MAY BE TAKEN AS A BASIS

FOR THE DEBATE

RESPECTING THE

THE FUTURE OF THE

THE FUTURE OF THE
MUSEUM



THE FUTURE OF THE
MUSEUM

THE FUTURE OF THE
MUSEUM

THE FUTURE OF THE
MUSEUM

THE FUTURE OF THE
MUSEUM

INTRODUCTION.

WE have lately heard, said, and repeated so much for war, and so much against philosophers and whole nations, that I am almost afraid to declare that my arguments are only grounded in philosophy and natural reason, and are incompatible with our ideas on the elect, predestination, or reprobation; I plead for all men and all nations, even for the disciples of unrevealed nature and the French people. I am not better off on the other preliminary matters with which I must entertain my readers, at first I must caution them against the natural enemies of all philosophical writings; those, who owe their credit only to their gown or their employment, cannot like natural reason, their loyalty goes no farther than their emoluments, they must find fault some where to shew their zeal; they take such broad credentials for themselves, that they can allow others but a narrow path from Earth to Heaven; they cannot defend themselves, they must stand up to speak, either for God and Churches, or for Kings and States. For them nothing

can be so dreadful as the light of philosophy, should it make us a little wiser, we would no longer be the dupes of their Dreams and Visions; as things are, they move easily our arms for their own defence with some pompous words either on the cause of Heaven, or that of the Country: No wonder if some of them start at the Title Page and find it against both Church and State. One single question would disconcert and confound the most zealous, let him be asked if he does sincerely believe that God can either be in want of his aid, or be in danger of any man's audacity. He would not be less embarrassed in his answer, should he be asked if he thinks really that philosophy can be so easily understood as to persuade the multitude against a King, who can do no harm to any, and who is naturally the best Father and Protector of all.

Next I must secure those who may question my abilities for so great a task, my name would not warrant them, tho' a high Doctor of university and Barrister in former times, but I can say that I am no Party-man, like impartiality above all things, and know the difficulties any pacific language must meet with

with, while both Politicians and Preachers are busy to irritate and inflame our minds, and stir up one against another; I see the walls, bulwarks and mountains, our eloquent speeches and writings have erected between Men and Nations, but I see also that they are more shewy than solid; the simple and naked truth may yet have power enough to calm and soften the animosity and bitterness of both our religious and political disputes, and dispose all to a cordial union. I will not hide my Heart and Mind behind any authority, nor take away the Reader's time by quotations; he will only find,

First, Simple narrative matters to rectify our mistaken notions on the character and opinion of both believers and unbelievers, all may see and understand their Creed and the Trifles by which we are divided; in knowing one another better, reciprocal hatred must soon give way to benevolence and friendship; only we might be ashamed if we pay attention to the high principles and morals, toleration and moderation of those we call enemies of God, Religion, and social Order.

Secondly, I unmask the bad and defend the good, endeavour to change our bitter and troublesome sentiments into affectionate

feelings in order to make room in our hearts for reciprocal love and admiration, and shew an excusable side of the national Guilt of our Enemy, in order that the voice of Peace might be heard by Justice itself.

Thirdly, I go from the origin down to the end of all troubles, wars and revolutions, in order that we might foresee the inseparable consequences, and prevent similar horrors and bad actions in all future events.

The conclusion is a perspective on the moral improvements we must gain by the revolutionary experiences of past and present times, they must clear our heart and mind from slavish prejudices and partial ideas, and bring in universal toleration, universal peace and universal happiness.

Finally I must beg all to excuse the form; I could not follow a more regular plan; in defending the followers of Reason against the fanatic believers, I must also defend the rational believers against the fanatic Philosophers; the Politicians must also find that their difference is not so mighty a matter as to require the keeping up a hostile and endless opposition; every part, Chapter and Article of this Work must be for and
against

against all, impartial and liberal to thoughts and opinions, and severe only to bad dealings; every where politicks and religion must be mixed with philosophy to clear up and accommodate our dissensions and disputes, which are all on miscellaneous matters; our politicians preach like priests, our priests reason and act like politicians, and our Church and Chapel men are frequently both priests and politicians. I do not say this as a critic, I approve every one who will judge for himself and think on all matters as he chooses, but I must beg he will grant the same privilege to others. The French Revolution throws other irregularities in our conversations, the answers must be a propos, and derange entirely the ordinary method of a book.

For all these considerations I have preferred a comparative subdivision, all that may be expected from the Title Page, is ordered in such a manner, that each part might be read separately, and serve as a specimen of the whole work.

In the first part I unfold the character of the followers of natural reason, their principles of toleration, and their political preferences;

ferences; I defend the French philosophers and all followers of natural reason against those who will throw on them the impolitic blunders, bad actions and horrors lately committed in France.

This first part I offer to the public, should it meet with approbation, another part will follow; but I must beg the contented readers to give their orders for it at the Places mentioned at the Title Page, as no more copies will be Printed than are desired. The matters of that 2d. part will be,

—*I.* On the false philosophers and true causes of the French Revolution. *II.* On the bad consequences of human reason too much influenced by fanatical principles, and the blessings of social life when politics and religion are both united with natural reason. *III.* On the opinion of a follower of reason on man, divinity, and the source of our moral ideas. *IV.* On the Faith and deeds of both believers and followers of reason. *V.* On the origin and end of religious and civil wars, and all Revolutions. *VI.* And last on the future golden age of reason.—

FIRST PART.

I. *Character of a Follower of Reason.*

1. **THE** followers of reason only seek in the great book of nature to find out, either divinity or both our natural and social rights and duties ; they form their opinions in the study of nature, and are delighted with the variety in moral as well as natural philosophy ; they like the diversity of opinions, and would no more destroy a belief, either religious or philosophical, than a species in vegetable or animal life ; they are not so blinded by their persuasion as to neglect or disrespect that of others : tho' they think the philosophical principles and morals prior and superior to any revelation, they have no objection that we should rather like our traditions and scriptures ; neither find they fault that we have various interpretations of what we call the word of God ; nor do they blame, either the original believers who give credit to all parts, chapters, articles and verses of their sacred books ; or those who can believe only a part of it. they confess Themselves, that they see not all the same things in their great book, and are divided in Theist, Deist, and Atheistical Philosophers, but all have the greatest veneration for human reason ; think it the most valuable present ; whether
it

it comes from Heaven or Nature itself, is no matter of dispute among them.

2. True Philosophers love universal toleration, and are friends to all rational believers, the good, honest and loyal Jew, Mahometan, and Lamaist, as well as a similar Christian; they like to see in a country a high Church, but they would also wish they could see in it Chapels for all man's different persuasions; they prefer the Royal high Church of England for its greater toleration, to the Papal, Patriarchal, Apostolic, and evangelical high churches of other countries, but that does not prevent them to like the Romans, Greeks, Lutherans, Calvenists, Quakers, Unitarians, and all other Chapel followers, as well as the high Church Catholicks: they would also prefer, Pagans and Heathens doing well and preaching good actions, to a Christian masking horrid deeds under the credit of his faith; a man with a sound heart and mind, without any religion at all, to those Church folks, either high or low, who call their friends enemies of God, when they cannot flatter their ignorance, partiality, and vices; and rather any set of persuaded believers, than those fanatic and uncertain Puritans, who look for precedents in the old Scriptures of Jews, Mahometans and Christians, to justify the most odious assassinations and massacres, their cold hearts only can enjoy.

3. Besi-

3. Besides chapels and churches, they like to see in a country private meetings and fraternal societies, both for the lovers of a new Jerusalem and adepts in secrets and mystical Theologies; but they prefer the Free Masons who rebuild Solomon's Temple in a Tavern, to the followers of the Swedenborgish Society of London, who seek for their new spiritual Jerusalem in all Churches and Chapels, tho' they are discontented with both; they prefer the Moravians who have built a City according to the Word of God on their own spot, to the real new Jerusalem pretenders of Avignon who mean to carry the Tabernacle of Jehovah in the country of condemned Christians, where they expect a new Moses will lead them to victory; and they like better the jovial fraternity of the followers of a club, than the chimerical fraternity of the whole human race planed by the most learned visionary.

4. A follower of reason would wish he could also see in a country temples of reason for the Theists, Deists and Atheists, which would keep in awe both dissenting believers and freethinkers; the latter could not so easily hide their corrupted heart under the banner of Philosophers, it would soon be known that they cannot be confounded with any disciple of nature; they have only false notions on philosophy, and for neglect of their

former principles they go from vices to crimes and become slaves to all passions, consequently unworthy of any philosophical appellation. The former would be obliged to keep more union with the high Church, could not so indifferently be styled Philosophers and give credit to their tenets as better according with reason : it is true, the more rational believers in Theology are justly called Philosophers when compared with the more superstitious ; so was it in former times with the believers in Mythology, some protested against many Gods, Demi-gods, and Heroes, tho' they gave credit to their favourite ones ; yet we call only those Philosophers who would not admit even Saturn and his Sons, Jupiter, Neptune, and Pluto.

5. In short followers of reason rise only against fanaticism, hypocrisy, imposture, and bad actions, are wellwishers to all who do well, and pay no more attention to the *belief* or *unbelief*, than to the name and colour of the face : union, peace and harmony, is their chief delight ; and in these critical times they do all they can, to caution the discontented parties against the seducing promises of their ambitious leaders, and would if possible inspire all wisdom to the chiefs and rulers of every nation, in order that men might not fall back as in former times, and lose once more Science and Virtue.

II. *The*

II. *The French Revolution cannot be the work of Followers of Reason.*

1. **C**AN the followers of reason imitate such examples as performed by our Cromwellists, can they be guilty of the impolitic blunders and horrors committed in the French Revolution? No, let us confess it, we were misled by men who were perhaps mistaken themselves; let the good enjoy a little triumph over the bad; let the French Philosophers know that we are convinced of our errors, and our secret enemies will see that we are no longer their dupes.

2. Yes, republicanism and equality are not philosophical but Calvinist Doctrines; the Philosopher sees the unequality of rank in nature as well as in society, and does not think that a pure Democratical State can have any duration; universal suffrage and equal right must soon bring in rulers who cut down the tree to get at the fruit.

3. Rousseau the libeller of social distinctions was not a true Philosopher, but only an apostle of the Huguenots, the inspirer of all modern Dissenters, and the most fanatic Calvinist, notwithstanding his various and frequent abjurations, and like Marat, a very wicked Citizen of Geneva; the few Philosophers united with the disguised disciples

of that celebrated man have paid their mistake very dear.

4. The Rights of Man cannot be attributed to a follower of reason, philosophy gives only Rights to Children and Old Age, to the infirm, weak and sick; and imposes heavy duties on all men.

5. The most unchristianlike aristocracy which succeeded the most christian monarchy was the work of bankers; the Philosophers would have preferred the just and generous Mahometan despotism of the Turks.

6. And the gloomy and stern Republican Synod was brought in by fanatic disciples of the Calvinist, Romantic and too eloquent Rousseau; no follower of reason has, or ever will approve, either the life of the apostle and inspirer, or the horrid deeds of his disciples.

7. With regard to the visionaries and bad men who follow all stages of a Revolution, every body must know that they cannot be called followers of reason; most of them have their heart and mind corrupted by lewdness and debauchery, they style themselves Deists, Theists, or Atheists, to impose on the multitude, but they have not the principles, morals, honour and sentiments of any disciple of nature. The others tho' of a better character are discontented with all we know both from religion and philosophy, listen to the voice
of

of their spirits, and run after confusions to find out, either the road which leads to a new Jerusalem, or the philosopher's stone, universal medicine, and their beloved chimerical fraternity.

8. The followers of reason could not, and have never preached against royalty, according to philosophy a nation cannot come to power and glory without being led for Centuries by a vast number of great men, who know how to create, discern, attract, and encourage genius and all kinds of abilities ; therefore they think that a Monarch can worthily represent a civilized and enlightened nation, and its former benefactors. They never would have advised the King to derogate from the religion of the country or the Majesty of the Throne ; they do not deny that both spiritual and temporal powers may be useful to give a sanction to the laws, and to keep the people in order and subordination : but they wished also that the French Kings and their Ministers had never departed from the principles of philosophy, government ought to protect the *belief* of all, even that of the Atheists ; for, tho' we can bend our will and obey the laws, we cannot do so with our opinion ; it is the result of persuasion, which cannot be ordered, nor prohibited, either in the name of God, the King, or the Law.

9. They

9. They could not have demanded for a new constitution, the Philosopher sees a political body in the same light as a natural one, both come to maturity by a secret influence of nature ; once grown, we can preserve, strengthen and improve our constitution, by prudence and good regimen : but it is only a quack who promises a new constitution to the weak, attacks life itself by his operations, and brings to an end in one year a structure which would have held out sixty, without his pills.

10. They cannot be accused to have aimed at the chimerical Republic of Plato ; their censures were always accompanied with praises on better regulated churches and states ; condemning the persecutions of the Gallican Church, they recommended the great toleration of the high Church of England ; finding fault with the frequent embarrassments caused in state affairs by the Ministers of the Altar, they admired the sublime Government of the great Frederic, which protects all religions, and is influenced by none. Blaming the superiors and rulers for their neglect or irreverent behaviour in religious ceremonies, they exalted the wisdom of the disciples of Confucius, who attend with respect all Pagodas, although they do not believe the dogmas of any.

11. No more can we suspect, that they
are

are the friends of anarchy and disorder, philosophy is repugnant to unlimited liberty as well as arbitrary power, they have always spoken as loud against the democratical outrages, as the monarchical and aristocratical vexations.

12. Here I must take leave of the French Philosophers, if something remains still undefended against them, it is so with all others, both those who have honoured the former ages and will shine in future times, as well as those who may now be found in other countries; none will ever admire all our religious and political maxims. A Philosopher thinks that we could gain more in uniting men, than in dividing them, and that the good should not be the loser in this world; he does not wish to do any thing that may injure another, yet he cannot agree, that a bad and idle man should be authorised to believe that he has some claim on a good heart.

END OF THE FIRST PART,

Intended as a Proposal and Specimen.

The Subscription of the whole Work is 2s. 6d.

The readers, who wish to encourage this Work and its Author, are requested to give their Names and Orders at any of the Booksellers mentioned in the Title Page, or at No. 36, High Street, near Devonshire Street, Mary-le-bone.

